

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 * * *

5 Christopher Gregg De Falco,
6 Plaintiff,
7 v.
8 Cox Communications, Inc., et al.,
9 Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01014-JAD-BNW

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

10
11 On July 22, 2021, the Court ordered Plaintiff to update his address by August 13, 2021, as
12 recent filings were returned as undeliverable. *See* ECF Nos. 4–6. The Court further advised
13 Plaintiff that if he did not comply with the Court’s order, the Court would recommend dismissing
14 his case. ECF No. 6. Plaintiff has not complied with the Court’s order.

15 **IT IS THEREFORE RECOMMENDED** that the case be dismissed without prejudice.

16 **NOTICE**

17 This report and recommendation is submitted to the United States district judge assigned
18 to this case under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party who objects to this report and recommendation
19 may file a written objection supported by points and authorities within fourteen days of being
20 served with this report and recommendation. Local Rule IB 3-2(a). Failure to file a timely
21 objection may waive the right to appeal the district court’s order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153,
22 1157 (9th Cir. 1991).

23
24 DATED: September 13, 2021

25
26 

27 BREND A WEKSLER
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE